Potential of city green space as OECMs



Taku Kadoya, PhD

National Institute for Environmental Studies

NIES University of Tsukuba



Native species assemblages in cities reflecting the regional flora/fauna

Biodiversity in cities

Lower richness than predicted non-urban richness density (native plants: 25%, birds 8%)



Cities with larger green space tend to have larger richness



City green space and OECMs

To identify a city green space as a candidate for OECMS, we need to

- **Test 1.** Ensure that the area is not already recognised and/ or recorded as a protected area.
- **Test 2.** Ensure that the area has the essential characteristics as defined for OECMs.
- **Test 3.** Ensure that the conservation outcome will endure over the long-term.
- **Test 4.** Ensure that an *in-situ* area-based conservation target (e.g., Aichi Target 11), as opposed to a sustainable use target, is the right focus for reporting.

"Screening tool" in IUCN-WCPA Task Force on OECMs, 2019

Our approach

Listing city green space that has admin office

Digitize on GIS

2159 green space Total area: 45,000ha

Spatial Analysis

Conservation priority areas Existing PAs

Questionary survey

Species with conservation concern Management policy

Collaboration of NIES & NACS-J since 2018

Distribution of city green space



Results of questionaries



- **Test 3.** Ensure that the conservation outcome will endure over the long-term.
- **Test 4.** Ensure that an *in-situ* area-based conservation target (e.g., Aichi Target 11), as opposed to a sustainable use target, is the right focus for reporting.

Those city green spaces may deserve as candidates of OECMs

Challenges

Threshold green space area to maintain species richness

Threshold value (ha) Author Taxa level Goal Birds Arca et al. (2012) Low Drinnan (2005) Birds 4 Low urban environments 3 Drinnan (2005) Frogs Species adopted to Low 2 Drinnan (2005) Low Plants 2 Drinnan (2005) Fungus Low Germaine et al. (1998) 1 Birds Low 8 Hinners et al. (2012) **Pollinators** Low 1 Loss et al. (2009) Birds Low 10 Natuhara & Imai (1999) Birds Low 8 Low Sadler *et al.* (2006) Carabids 5 Sewell & Catterall (1998) Birds Low 6.5 Low Smith (2007) Birds Ave. 4.4 ha 5 Tilghman (1987) Birds Low 140 Amphibians High Bickford *et al.* (2010) 42.2 Donnelly & Marzluff (2004) Birds High Species sensitivity to 50 High Drinnan (2005) Birds 50 High Drinnan (2005) Frogs habitat loss 20 High Hinners et al. (2012) **Pollinators** 20 High Natuhara & Imai (1999) Birds 20 Smith (2007) Birds High 25 Birds Tilghman (1987) High 50 High Vignoli et al. (2009) Reptiles 50 Vignoli et al. (2009) Amphibians High 118.9 High Watson et al. (2005) Birds Ave. 53.3 ha

Most of the green spaces are smaller than 50ha



Beninde et al 2015 Eco Lett

Problems

Meta-analysis of 87 studies on city green space



Corridor may compensate the small area problem at least in part

Challenges

Results of the questionaries

Q3. What is limiting continuous conservation activities?



Capacity building, Funding, and authorization mechanisms...

Summaries

1. Biodiversity in cites

-City can carry native species assemblages -Cities with larger green space tend to have larger richness

2. Potential of city green space as OECMs

-City green space covers specific prioritized areas

-City green space can cover more target species &

more irreplaceable areas than PAs

-Some of city green spaces deserve as candidates of OECMs

3. Challenges

-Most of the green spaces are smaller than threshold areas to maintain species richness

-Capacity building, Funding, and authorization mechanisms are required for continuous conservation activities

Acknowledgments



Shin-ichi Takagawa



NIES Fumiko Ishihama



Masashi Soga & Mizuki Tamari